

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/849,147	05/04/2001	Jacob J. Liu	56647USA8A.002	9714	
32692 75	590 02/19/2003				
3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY			EXAMINER		
PO BOX 33427 ST. PAUL, MN			ZIRKER, D	ZIRKER, DANIEL R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1771	9	
			DATE MAILED: 02/19/2003	•	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	4 4 4 4		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art Unit	
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appo	ears on the cover shee	t beneath the correspondence address	_
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE3	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING	DATE
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defending to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	i, a reply within the statutory afault, expire SIX (6) MONTI- or statute, cause the applicat	minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered tin S from the mailing date of this communication. ion to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	mely.
Status  Presponsive to communication(s) filed on/ 2	/27/02		·
□ This action is FINAL.	,		
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance excaccordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle,</li> </ul>			in
Disposition of Claims	_		
$\Box \text{Claim(s)}                                    $	<b>!</b>	is/are pending in the application	1.
Of the above claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from considera	ation.
□ Claim(s)		is/are allowed.	
	<del>-)</del>	is/are rejected	
(Claim(s) 1-8, 10, 11, 1°		id die rejected.	
□ Claim(s)			
•		is/are objected to are subject to restriction or elec	tion
□ Claim(s)     □ Claim(s)     □ Claim(s)  Application Papers		is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or electronic requirement	tion
☐ Claim(s)	is 🗆 approv	is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or electronic requirement  disapproved.	tion
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ is/are of	is 🗆 approv	is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or electronic requirement  disapproved.	tion
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ is/are of ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	is □ approvention is □ approvention is □ approvention is □ approvention.	is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or electronic requirement  disapproved.	tion
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s)  Application Papers ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are of	is □ approvention is □ approvention is □ approvention is □ approvention.	is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or electronic requirement  disapproved.	tion
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ is/are of ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	is □ approvention is □ approvention is □ approvention is □ approvention.	is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or electronic requirement  disapproved.	tion
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ is/are of ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ is/are of ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	is □ approvention is □ a	is/are objected to are subject to restriction or electron requirement  ed	tion
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ is/are of ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)—(d) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prior ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:	is □ approvention is □ approv	is/are objected to are subject to restriction or electron requirement  ed	tion
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ Is/are of ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prior ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the: ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have be	is ☐ approvention approvention is ☐ appro	is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or electorequirement  ad	tion
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ is/are of ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ is/are of ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)—(d) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prior ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the: ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have bee ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have bee	is approvention in approvention is approvention is approvention in approvention in approvention is approvention in approvention in approvention in approvention is approvention in approximate approvention in approximate approvention in approximate	is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or electron requirement  ed  disapproved.  ner  9 (a)–(d).	tion
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ Is/are of ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prior ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the: ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have bed ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have bed ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents in this national stage application from the International St	is approve bjected to by the Examinar.  ity under 35 U.S.C. § 11 en received.  en received in Application received in Application received in Application received in Bureau (PCT Rule)	is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or electron requirement  ad	tion
☐ Claim(s)	is approve bjected to by the Examinar.  ity under 35 U.S.C. § 11 en received.  en received in Application received in Application received in Application received in Bureau (PCT Rule)	is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or electron requirement  ad	tion
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ Inhe drawing(s) filed on ☐ Is/are of ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prior ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the: ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have bee ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have bee ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have bee ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been ☐ Copies Of the Copies O	is approve bjected to by the Examinar.  ity under 35 U.S.C. § 11 en received.  en received in Application received in Application received in Application received in Bureau (PCT Rule)	is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or electron requirement  ad	tion
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prior ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the: ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the priority doc	is approvention is approvention is approvention is approvention is approvention.  ity under 35 U.S.C. § 11 approvention is approvention is approvention is approvention in the process of	is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or electron requirement  ad	tion
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ is/are of ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ is/are of ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)—(d) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prior ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the: ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the Copies Of Copi	is approvention is approvention is approvention is approvention is approvention is approvention in the image of the image	is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or electron requirement  ad   disapproved.  are subject to restriction or electron requirement  ad   disapproved.  are subject to restriction or electron requirement  ad   disapproved.  are subject to restriction or electron requirement  are subject to restriction or electron requirement.	

U.S. GPO: 2000-472-999/43204

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Pursuant to the provisions of Rule 126, newly presented claim 20 has been properly renumbered as claim 19.
- 3. Claims 1-8, 10-11 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicants regard as the invention. More particularly, despite the recent claim amendments several problems still remain. In claim 1, next to last line the phrase "disposed against" is vague and indefinite with respect to the bonding relationship which exists between the optical recording medium and the backing layer coated with the adhesive layer, i.e. isn't the optical recording medium bonded by the adhesive layer to the backing? In claims 2 and 3 after the word "block" it appears proper to add --copolymer--. In claims 6 and 8, line 2 of each, after "of" it also appears proper to remove the colon.
- 4. Claims 1-8, 10, 11 and 19 are rejected under 35
  U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP -263 combined with applicants' admissions in the specification at page 2 lines 8-11 and 20-22. EP -263 is again relied upon substantially as set forth in paragraph No. 7 of Paper No. 6, disclosing a repositionable genus of articles that can comprise a label bearing (page 4, lines 1-2) low tack or tack-free elastomeric

block copolymer adhesive that either reads upon or is at most a minor modification of applicants' preferred genus of thermoplastic elastomeric block copolymers. The reference lacks a teaching of adhering the label to an optical recording medium (e.g. a compact disc). However, applicants admit in the aforementioned page 2 of the specification that pressure sensitive adhesive labels for optical discs are well known, and it is imperative that the label must also be precisely positioned onto a disc; therefore a label bearing a repositionable adhesive would be particularly useful for such a task. Accordingly one of ordinary skill in the optical recording medium label art would have more than ample motivation to look to the repositionable adhesive art and utilize a label coated with a member of the genus of adhesives such as are set forth in EP -263 in order to form the claimed genus of articles. Note also that with respect to the claimed performance parameter regarding the storage modulus at room temperature which constitutes the Dahlquist criterion, this performance parameter is again believed to be either inherent in the EP -263 genus of adhesives or at most an obvious optimization to one of ordinary skill, in the absence of unexpected results . With respect to the dependent claims, these are again handled in the manner previously set forth, with the newly presented renumbered claim 19 that recites the presence

of an ink receptive coating on the backing being well known to one of ordinary skill in the label art.

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Note the patent publication of Liu et al. (the claimed inventor's patent application publication).
- 6. Applicants' amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See M.P.E.P. § 706.07(a). Applicants are reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a). The practice of automatically extending the shortened statutory period an additional month upon the filing of a timely first response to a final rejection has been discontinued by the Office. See 1021 TMOG 35.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE

STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel Zirker whose telephone number is (703) 308-0031. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:30 A.M. to 6:00 P.M. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris, can be reached on (703) 308-2414. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Dzirker:cdc

February 6, 2003

DANIEL ZIRKER PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1300 1700

Daniel Zukin